

PTO/SB/97 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Application Number: 09/598,814

Filing Date: Jun 21, 2000

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 04 2004

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the  
United States Patent and Trademark Office

on 10/04/2004

Date



Signature

LeAnn M. Sassman

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify  
each submitted paper.

1. Substance of Interview of May 17, 2004

Total pages: 3  
(703) 872-9306  
MS1-1875US*Please notify us immediately (509-324-9256) if  
there is a problem with the quality of this fax.*Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.03 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case.  
Any comments on the amount of time required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark  
Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents,  
Washington, DC 20231.

Express Mail No.:

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Application Serial No. ....09/598,814  
Filing Date ..... June 21, 2000  
Inventorship ..... LaMacchia et al.  
Applicant..... Microsoft Corporation  
Group Art Unit.....21328  
Examiner ..... Kim, Jung W.  
Attorney's Docket No. .... MS1-1857US  
Title: A System and Method for Securing Information Distribution Via Email

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW OF MAY 17, 2004**

To: MS: Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From: Lawrence E. Lycke  
Customer No.: 22801

Sir:

In response to the Examiner Interview Summary Record, subsequent to the interview of September 13, 2004, the Substance of the Interview is provided below.  
The Examiner Interview Summary Record was mailed September 15, 2003.

Express Mail No.:

**THE EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD**

A verbatim listing of the Examiner Interview Summary Record, subsequent to the interview of September 13, 2004 is as follows:


"The following limitation of claim 1 was discussed: receiving at least a second condition and a second element of evidence, wherein the second condition is associated with the permission set and a level of trust associated with the second element is dependent upon the first condition. Applicant's representative argued that the prior art presented in the rejections did not cover the aforementioned limitation. Clarification of the rejection with regard to this limitation was presented by the Examiner. No resolution was reached. Examiner agrees to reconsider the rejections of the claims pending future amendments and remarks submitted with the allotted time frame."

The Applicant appreciates the Examiner's courtesy and cooperation with regard to this matter.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lee &amp; Hayes, PLLC

Dated: 10/4/04

  
Lawrence E. Lytke  
Reg. No. 38,540  
(206) 315-4001 x 103